



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,670	08/31/2001	Brad Pivar	81300520-0008-043	1117
26263	7590	06/18/2008		
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 061080			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER				
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/943,670

Applicant(s)

PIVAR ET AL.

Examiner

Neveen Abel-Jalil

Art Unit

2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. ABDELKADE GACEM (Applicant's representative). (3) _____.

(2) Neveen Abel-Jalil (Primary Examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Slater et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,796,489) and Yankovich et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,704,906 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested the interview to discuss what the applicant views as distinguishing features relative the claim language specifically "transmitting digital signatures and timestamp to second user" and "non-signature information". The Examiner pointed to relevant sections cited in the prior art. Applicant's representative took note of suggestions made by the Examiner. A response will be filed to the office shortly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Neveen Abel-Jalil/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.